



MISASA

Microlight & Sport Aeroplane Association of South Africa
Technical Feedback

17 March 2017: ASO subcommittee meeting

I was requested to be part of the ASO subcommittee meeting. The MISASA applicable items on the agenda were:

1. Proposal for amendment of 94.06.1 – Rennie van Zyl – by the removal of 94.6.1(b) and (c)

This will remove the requirement for people to belong to an ARO

Comments were received on this proposal. An objection was tabled by RAASA. The AROs that were present informed the ASO subcommittee about what the AROs do on their behalf with regard to keeping their members in line and informed. They told the CAA that all these duties would fall either to RAASA or CAA should the ARO requirement be removed from the regulations.

Outcome: this has been sent to Project 24.

2. Proposal for amendment of CAR 55 and CAR 56: APs and maintenance facilities.

Objections were received from industry on these two regulations. CAA was informed that even though they had been invited to participate in Project 24 session, there had been no attendance.

Outcome: these two regulations have been sent back to Project 24 and CAA has been told to participate and give input. This will be deliberated at the next NTCA WG.

3. Proposal for the amendment of CAR Part 1: Definitions and CAR Part 187: Fees.

Discussion was held and said that the amendments were because of CAR 55 and CAR 56 and were therefore not going to be discussed.

Outcome: these two regulations have been sent back to Project 24

18 March 2017: RAASA/AERO CLUB MEETING

The meeting was chaired by Tony Frost an independent strategist. Both parties were asked to give their grievances to him without the other present. On hearing both parties grievances, he found that both parties had similar and unique problems with each other.

The two parties were asked to give their perfect world:

RAASA: They require professional representative associations to talk to.

AeCSa: RAASA not consulting with the industry. Industry is not consulted when change occurs in formal agreements. There is no memorandum of agreement (MOA) between RAASA and AeCSa. Regular meetings required between AeCSa appointed members on the RAASA board. These members also to put forward interests of AeCSa at board meetings.

The bottom line problem was communication between all parties concerned i.e. members of AeCSa, RAASA, Directors of the RAASA board, and Directors of the AeCSa board.

Solutions:

1. AeCSa to formulate problems with RAASA and send to CEO of RAASA and AeCSa appointed RAASA board members.
2. AeCSa to have channel of communication into RAASA board
3. Memorandum of Understanding to be drafted between AeCSa and RAASA.

Bonita to give date for next meeting.

Formal submission (1 above) to be drafted and submitted by 11 April. (I am not sure where Richard gets his date of 23rd March 2017)